

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/723,161 11/26/2003		In-Gon Park	678-1297 (P11066)	9243
28249	7590 07/26/2006	EXAMINER		INER
DILWORTH & BARRESE, LLP 333 EARLE OVINGTON BLVD. UNIONDALE, NY 11553		RAMOS FELICIANO, ELISEO		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		2617	

DATE MAILED: 07/26/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/723,161	PARK ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Eliseo Ramos-Feliciano	2617	

	Eliseo Ramos-Feliciano	2617					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 30 June 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, affice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply missing the same of the contract of the same of	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31: or (3)				
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropringly set in the final Offi	iate extension fee				
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	nsideration and/or search (see NO w); ter form for appeal by materially re	TE below); ducing or simplifying					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		•	(, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>			•				
<ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none.</li> <li>Claim(s) objected to: none.</li> <li>Claim(s) rejected: 1-8.</li> <li>Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none.</li> </ol>	⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ wil vided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affiday	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). ( 13. Other:	PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)					

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly added limitations (underlined) to proposed amended claims have never been claimed before, and change the scope of the rejected claims. Therefore, they raise new issues.

PLISEO RAMOS-FELICIANO

**PRIMARY EXAMINER**